

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



November 5, 2002

Agenda ID #1330

TO: PARTIES OF RECORD IN CASE 93-06-054

This is the draft decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Weismehl. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the draft decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the draft decision as provided in Article 19 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure." These rules are accessible on the Commission's website at <http://www.cpuc.ca.gov>. Pursuant to Rule 77.3 opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages. Finally, comments must be served separately on the ALJ and the assigned Commissioner, and for that purpose I suggest hand delivery, overnight mail, or other expeditious method of service.

/s/ ANGELA K. MINKIN for
Carol Brown, Interim Chief
Administrative Law Judge

CAB:sid

Attachment

Decision **DRAFT DECISION OF ALJ WEISMEHL** (Mailed 11/5/2002)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald L. Olney,

Complainant,

vs.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company,

Defendant.

Case 93-06-054
(Filed June 23, 1993)

ORDER CLOSING THIS PROCEEDING

This matter was initiated a number of years ago. No activity has directly occurred in this docket for several years. On October 7, 2002, Administrative Law Judge Weismehl issued a ruling seeking comments on whether this proceeding needs to remain as an open and active docket. The only comment received was from Pacific Gas and Electric Company, which sees no need to keep the proceeding open. Therefore, we dismiss the complaint.

Comments on Draft Decision

The draft decision of the ALJ in this matter was mailed to the parties in accordance with Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(1) and Rule 77.7 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments were filed on _____, and reply comments were filed on _____.

Assignment of Proceeding

Geoffrey Brown is the Assigned Commissioner and Philip Weismehl is the assigned Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.

Finding of Fact

No party indicated a need for this proceeding to remain open.

Conclusion of Law

The complaint should be dismissed.

IT IS ORDERED that Case 93-06-054 is dismissed.

This order is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.